"INVERSE ILLUMINATION METHOD FOR CHARACTERIZATION OF CPC CONCENTRATORS"

<u>M. Stefancich^{1,3}</u>

A. Antonini^{2,3}, G. Martinelli², M. Armani⁵ A. Parretta^{2,4}

¹CNR c/o University of Ferrara, Ferrara (I)
²University of Ferrara, Ferrara (I)
³CPower srl, Ferrara (I)
⁴ENEA Research Center, Bologna (I)
⁵EURAC, Bolzano (I)

OUTLINE

Introduction

The "direct" method of optical characterization

The "inverse" method of optical characterization

Applications of the "inverse" method

* Half-Truncated CPC (HT-CPC)

* Truncated and Squared CPC (TS-CPC)

Conclusions

INTRODUCTION

CPC concentrators have been widely used as secondary collectors for Solar Concentration Systems.

Their specific characteristics is the ability to attain the maximal optical acceptance for a given level of optical concentration.

Real CPC systems, however, may suffer from mechanical defects introduced during the realization stage.

A quick method is therefore needed to assess the actual acceptance and energy transfer efficiency in correspondence of beams incoming under different impinging angles.

A version of an ideal 3D-CPC



ideal cpc r(out) = 5 mmAxis tilt = 5° r(in) = 57.4 mmL = 712.9 mm

... and its target illumination profile for normal irradiance



Irradiance Min:2.5392e-008 W/m², Max:1.285e+005 W/m², Ave:8680.3 W/m², RMS:7121.5, Normalized Flux:0.86803 49954 Incident Rays

DIRECT METHOD FOR OPTICAL

CHARACTERIZATION

OF SOLAR CONCENTRATORS

Measurement of <u>input</u> flux



Measurement of <u>output</u> flux



Optical efficiency as function of incidence angle δ

$$\eta(\delta) = S_{CPC}(\delta) \cdot \frac{R_{pm}}{S_{REF}}$$

The optical apparatus



The optical apparatus



The optical efficiency



Average number of reflections

$$R_w = 1.0$$
 (constant with δ)
 $\eta(\delta) = \Phi_{out}(\delta) / \Phi_{in}$

$$R'_{w} = 0.95 \quad (\text{constant with } \delta)$$

$$\eta'(\delta) = \Phi'_{out}(\delta) / \Phi_{in} = \Phi_{out}(\delta) \cdot (R'_{w})^{\overline{N}(\delta)} / \Phi_{in}$$

$$\overline{N}(\delta) = \frac{1}{\ln R'_{w}} \cdot \ln \frac{\eta'(\delta)}{\eta(\delta)}$$

Average number of reflections



Obtained by comparison between output flux of CPC at $R_w=1$ and $R_w=0.95$

INVERSE METHOD FOR OPTICAL

CHARACTERIZATION

OF SOLAR CONCENTRATORS

The basic principle



Experimental set-up



Illumination from the front side

Experimental set-up



Illumination from the back side

Example of raytracing with TracePro®



THEORY OF

INVERSE METHOD



$$L_{rel}(\delta) = \frac{L(\delta)}{L(0)} = \frac{E(d,x)}{E(d,0)} \cdot \frac{1}{\cos^4 \delta} = E_{rel}(d,x) \cdot \frac{1}{\cos^4 \delta}$$

Theory

$$L_{rel}(\delta) = \eta_{rel}(\delta)$$

 $\eta_{rel}(\delta)$ = relative optical efficiency of CPC concentrator



Irradiance distribution on the screen at large distance

Irradiance distribution



Irradiance map (left) and x/y profile (right) (500k rays)

Optical efficiency



Comparison between direct and inverse method

Irradiance distribution



Screen faced directly on the CPC aperture

APPLICATIONS

Half-Truncated CPC (HT-CPC)

Inverse analysis

Simulations with TracePro

Half-Truncated CPC (HT-CPC)



HT-CPC r(out) = 5 mmAxis tilt = 5.1° r(in) = 52 mmL = 358 mm Centered laser beam diffuser totally illuminated Screen at <u>large distance</u>





Centered laser beam with variable cross section





Centered laser beam with shape of annulus and variable internal radius (constant area = 3.14 mm²)





Centered laser beam Diffuser totally illuminated Screen at <u>variable distance</u>



.





TS-CPCr(out) = 5 mm l(in) = 100 mm L = 350 mm

Direct analysis

Simulations with TracePro



Inverse analysis

Simulations with TracePro

Centered laser beam diffuser totally illuminated Screen at <u>large distance</u>





TS-CPC - Comparison between direct and inverse methods



Centered laser beam with variable cross section





Centered laser beam with shape of annulus and variable internal radius (constant area = 3.14 mm²)





Centered laser beam Diffuser totally illuminated Screen at variable distance





RMS:3.9026e+009, Total Flux:4.4741e+005 W 499949 Incident Rays

 Image: constraint of the second se

Total - Irradiance Map for Absorbed Flux

Object 42 Surface 0

d = 0 cm



d = 10 cm





Irradiance Min:0.82259 W/m², Max:5120.2 W/m², Ave:1838.9 W/m², RMS:1419.9, Normalized Flux:0.89003 99614 Incident Rays

d = 40 cm



d = 300 cm





d = 3000 cm

Inverse analysis

Experiments



Experimental set-up





Average Reflectance of 3M film: 95±1%



Preparation of the expanded laser beam



A light diffuser is faced to the exit window on the back of the cpc



Light diffused by the cpc is projected on the screen

Digital camera measurements on the backreflected beam



0 cm distance

10 cm distance

Non expanded laser beam

Digital camera measurements on the backreflected beam



20 cm distance

40 cm distance

Non expanded laser beam



Light diffuser totally illuminated by the expanded laser beam.

Digital camera measurements on the backreflected beam



0 cm distance

20 cm distance

Expanded laser beam







A semitransparent diffuser is used to produce the lambertian light source



Summary of results

Method		Ideal 3D-CPC		TS-CPC		HT-CPC	
		90% Eff	50% Eff	90% Eff	50% Eff	90% Eff	50% Eff
Direct	Simul	4.5°	5.0°	1.5°	2.3°	4.5°	5.1°
	Exp			1.1°	2.8° (laser)		
Inverse	Simul	4.5°	5.0°	1.4°	2.1°	4.5°	5.1°
	Exp			0.8°	1.9°		

The experimental inverse method applied by using a semitransparent diffuser underestimates the acceptance angles because the light source is far to be lambertian. Also the laser method gives only an approximate estimation of acceptance angles.

CONCLUSIONS

We have introduced a new method of characterization of solar concentrators, called ILLUME.

The experimental setup is very simple to realize, requiring only a laser or a lamp and a digital camera or a CCD

A single simulation or a single experimental measurement is sufficient to determine the relative optical efficiency and the acceptance angle of the concentrator.

We have tested the ILLUME method on different types of nonimaging concentrators.

In all cases, optical efficiency and acceptance angle were consistent with conventional methods of characterization.

The end

Thanks



Solar concentration in ancient Rome