

BMJ Best Practice

Assessment of microscopic haematuria

The right clinical information, right where it's needed



Table of Contents

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Summary | 3 |
| Overview | 5 |
| Aetiology | 5 |
| Emergencies | 6 |
| Urgent considerations | 6 |
| Red flags | 6 |
| Diagnosis | 7 |
| Step-by-step diagnostic approach | 7 |
| Differential diagnosis overview | 9 |
| Differential diagnosis | 12 |
| Diagnostic guidelines | 26 |
| Online resources | 27 |
| Evidence scores | 28 |
| References | 29 |
| Disclaimer | 32 |

Summary

◇ Definition :

Urine sediment after centrifuge normally contains 2 to 3 RBCs per high power field (RBC/HPF) on microscopic examination.[1] [2] [3] There is consensus that ≥ 3 RBC/HPF in 2 of 3 urine specimens signals microscopic haematuria (MH). However, fewer RBCs from just 1 specimen should not exclude patients with risk for malignancy from a complete evaluation, because intermittent bleeding may occur.[1] [3]

◇ Significance :

Although less commonly associated with malignancy than gross haematuria, MH may signal cancer. However, about half of cases of MH are idiopathic.[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [AUA: diagnosis, evaluation and follow-up of asymptomatic microhematuria (AMH) in adults]

◇ History :

The most important initial diagnostic step is a detailed history, with the aim of identifying risk factors for malignancy. History may also indicate less serious causes (e.g., recent exercise or sexual activity, UTI, and menstruation).

◇ Cancer risk factors :

The risk of urinary tract malignancy increases with age >40 years, tobacco use, previous radiation exposure, and certain occupational exposures (dyes, benzenes, aromatic amines) and medications such as phenacetin (available only in Japan), cyclophosphamide, and aristolochic acid in some herbal weight loss preparations).[6] If malignancy is suspected, based on a high-risk profile, then evaluation of the entire urinary tract, including upper tract imaging and cystoscopy for the lower tract, is required.[1] [6] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] By contrast, the work-up of low-risk patients can be more focused towards the suspected cause without a complete urinary tract survey.

◇ Classification scheme :

Considering the source of bleeding by anatomical site offers an organised approach. The upper urinary tract includes the kidneys (glomerular or non-glomerular) and ureters, with remaining structures in the lower urinary tract. These dividing lines are useful to apply during the history and physical examination, as well as when ordering diagnostic tests, because no one diagnostic test evaluates the urinary tract completely.

◇ Diagnostic testing :

Diagnostic testing must first confirm the presence of MH. Secondly, testing may distinguish an upper tract glomerular source from other causes, allowing a more refined work-up, but upper and lower tract diagnostic tests (imaging and cystoscopy) remain necessary in all patients with risk factors for urinary tract malignancy. Using urinary tumour markers for diagnosing urinary tract cancer has not demonstrated adequate specificity for reliable diagnosis in research trials. However, the markers may play a role in assessing treatment efficacy and in identifying recurrences after treatment.[13] [14]

◇ Screening :

The most often identified cancer in patients with MH is bladder transitional cell carcinoma.[5] [8] [15] [16] [17] The US Preventive Services Task Force estimates a positive predictive value of 5% to 8% for MH indicating bladder cancer and recommends against routine screening.[7] [18] [19] Evidence One case-control study including people with renal neoplasms found that patients with ≥ 4 RBC/HPF or ≥ 5 RBC/HPF in a single urine sample were twice as likely to have concomitant MH (odds ratio of 2.2 for ≥ 4 RBC/HPF and 2.0 for ≥ 5 RBC/HPF) as patients without malignancy.[19]



Aetiology

Upper urinary tract (non-glomerular)

Upper urinary tract non-glomerular causes of MH include infection (pyelonephritis); stone formation (nephrolithiasis); and 3 broader groups: mass lesions, and abnormalities of vascular inflow and urine outflow. Mass lesions range from benign simple renal cysts to malignancy (renal cell carcinoma and calyceal or ureteral transitional cell carcinoma). Renal artery infarction, renal vein thrombosis, arteriovenous malformations, and papillary necrosis (or sickle cell disease) are vascular inflow examples. Hydronephrosis, vesicoureteral reflux, calyceal diverticula, and ureteropelvic junction obstruction relate to urine outflow. Other renal pathologies, such as polycystic kidney disease, atrophic kidney, and medullary sponge kidney, can present with MH.

Upper urinary tract (glomerular)

Kidney disorders involving the glomerulus (acute glomerulonephritis, lupus nephritis, thin glomerular basement membrane disease, and IgA nephropathy) and producing MH can be identified early in the diagnostic evaluation by RBC morphology and the presence of proteinuria.[3] [6] [20] [21] [22] Early recognition of these allows for a more focused work-up and prompts nephrology consultation.

Lower urinary tract

The lower urinary tract includes the bladder and urethra, as well as the prostate and penis. As with the upper urinary tract, infection (cystitis, urethritis, prostatitis), stone formation, tumours (e.g., bladder papilloma), and diverticula also contribute to MH originating from the lower urinary tract. Most often a malignant lesion causing MH occurs within the lower urinary tract, specifically a transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder.[5] [8] [15] [16] [17] Non-infectious causes of cystitis (radiation-induced, interstitial, and eosinophilic cystitis) and disorders occurring only in men (BPH, prostate cancer, phimosis, penis cancer) also need to be considered as possible causes of MH. Other lower urinary tract causes include bladder neck contracture and urethral stricture.

Non-urinary tract origin

Several causes do not fit within the other categories. Some readily identifiable causes belong in this group and should be inquired about early in the history. Examples include menstruation and trauma (sexual activity, contusion, exercise). Other miscellaneous causes include HIV, lymphoma, multiple myeloma, and urinary tract tuberculosis.[23]

Urgent considerations

(See [Differential diagnosis](#) for more details)

Malignancy

The diagnostic evaluation recommended for MH may take several weeks to complete and therefore should begin promptly, but the possibility of a malignant cause does not require immediate evaluation or admission to hospital.

Infection

When identified, a UTI needs appropriate treatment to prevent complications that may warrant urgent treatment, such as pyelonephritis and bacteraemia.

Vascular

If renal infarction, renal vein thrombosis, or papillary necrosis is suspected as the aetiology for MH, urgent treatment is important to attempt to preserve renal function.

Red flags

- [Pyelonephritis](#)
- [Renal cell carcinoma](#)
- [Transitional cell carcinoma \(kidney or ureter\)](#)
- Renal infarction
- Renal vein thrombosis
- [IgA nephropathy](#)
- [Acute glomerulonephritis](#)
- [Lupus nephritis](#)
- [Hereditary nephritis \(Alport's syndrome\)](#)
- [Transitional cell carcinoma \(bladder\)](#)
- [Prostate cancer](#)
- Penile cancer
- [HIV](#)
- [Lymphoma](#)
- [Multiple myeloma](#)

Step-by-step diagnostic approach

History

A complete history assists in determining the work-up for MH. The circumstances surrounding the patient's haematuria should be explored. The presence of dysuria, urgency, urinary frequency, and fever may indicate UTI. Identifying a concomitant history of infection, menses, recent sexual activity, or exercise will avoid an extensive work-up. Typically, haematuria due to intense exercise will clear within a few hours.^[24] Consideration should be given to repeating a urinalysis 6 weeks after treatment of UTI.^[25] Additional history regarding risk factors for urinary tract cancer may identify the need for a detailed evaluation including imaging and cystoscopy.^{[1] [5] [25]} Other important findings include family history of upper tract glomerular and non-glomerular disorders, or personal or family history of bleeding disorders or sickle cell anaemia. Finally, occupational exposures other than those known to relate to cancer risk (e.g., pesticide plant workers) may induce MH.^[26]

Risk factors for urinary tract cancer include:

- Age: unusual before 40 years of age;^{[9] [10] [11] [12]} risk increases with advancing age beyond 40 years^{[1] [8] [10]} The incidence below the age of 40 years was found to be 1% to 2.4%.^[27]
- Tobacco use
- Medications: phenacetin (available only in Japan); cyclophosphamide; aristolochic acid in some herbal weight loss preparations^[6]
- Past medical history: radiation exposure
- Occupational exposures: dyes, benzenes, aromatic amines.

Examination

The physical examination needs to include a search for disorders directly related to the urinary tract, as well as other systemic diseases. For example, blood pressure can be elevated with upper tract glomerular diseases, and petechiae, bruising, or lymphadenopathy may signal bleeding disorders or blood cell cancers. Examination of the abdomen and of the external genitalia may also reveal a source for MH. In men, a digital rectal examination will identify BPH and potentially discover prostate cancer. The physical examination can, like a detailed history, preclude an extensive evaluation if an obvious source is identified.

Basic laboratory tests

Initial laboratory testing should evaluate for systemic disorders in the appropriate clinical settings and include coagulation studies, ESR, creatinine, and C-reactive protein. A urine culture will confirm UTI if suspected. Prostate-specific antigen testing aids in identifying the prostate as a source for MH.

Urine microscopy

Before pursuing any work-up, the presence of haematuria should be confirmed by urine microscopy.^{[1] [6] [20]} Urine dipstick testing is highly sensitive for blood but lacks specificity.^{[1] [6] [28]} False-positives occur due to povidone-iodine, myoglobin, free haemoglobin, hypochlorite solutions, oxidising agents, and high levels of ascorbic acid.^[3] There is consensus that ≥ 3 RBC/HPF in 2 of 3 centrifuged urine specimens signals microscopic haematuria (MH).^[18] However, fewer RBCs from just 1 specimen should not exclude patients with risk for malignancy from a complete evaluation, because intermittent bleeding may occur.^[1] Microscopy should examine freshly voided midstream urine, immediately after dipstick testing if dipstick testing is performed.^{[1] [28]} Microscopy confirms MH, but it also directs the further work-up by identifying dysmorphic RBCs and RBC casts, which suggest an upper tract glomerular source of bleeding.^{[3] [20] [25] [28] 2[B]}^{Evidence}

Urine protein

The presence of proteinuria and MH together is highly suggestive of an upper tract glomerular source.[3] [22] [25] [29] [30] 3[B]Evidence A urine protein to creatinine ratio of ≥ 0.3 or a urine albumin to total urine protein ratio of ≥ 0.59 suggests renal parenchymal disease.[6] [21] The latter ratio has a sensitivity of 97% for distinguishing glomerular from non-glomerular bleeding. Nephrology consult is warranted and renal biopsy decisions should be directed by the nephrologist, because most often renal biopsy in patients with MH does not alter management decisions.[3] [31] Additionally, renal biopsy is unnecessary if proteinuria does not co-exist with haematuria.[6]

Imaging

If the history and physical examination do not identify a source of bleeding and microscopy confirms the presence of MH, then imaging of the urinary tract is indicated unless an upper tract glomerular source is suspected.[3] Any patient, however, with risk factors for urinary tract cancer also requires imaging.[1] [5] [25] Three separate modalities are primarily used for evaluation: IV urogram (IVU), ultrasound, or CT. Whereas the upper tract is predominantly evaluated using these 3 modalities of imaging, advances in imaging technology mean that bladder pathologies can be identified through helical CT urography[32] [33] and/or virtual CT cystoscopy,[34] and 3-dimensional ultrasound.[35]

Helical CT with IV contrast provides the single best test, if available.[36] [32] [33] [37] 4[B]Evidence One trial demonstrated an overall 91% sensitivity with helical CT urography; this included a 94% sensitivity for bladder tumours but <90% sensitivity for other bladder pathology.[32] Virtual CT cystoscopy has shown up to 93% sensitivity in a recent meta-analysis.[34] If stones are suspected the initial helical CT should not include contrast. IVU or ultrasound may be considered instead of CT, with IVU as the first choice.[25] IVU and ultrasound compare favourably with each other, but both have limitations.[38] Each may miss small renal masses.[25] Also, IVU provides better identification of transitional cell carcinomas of the upper tract,[39] while ultrasound better recognises cystic lesions.[38]

Cystoscopy

Cystoscopy is recommended as standard in evaluating those patients without an identifiable cause by history and physical examination, those without a suspected upper tract glomerular source, and all patients with risk factors for malignancy.[25] Furthermore, a source for bleeding may be identified in only 30% of patients with imaging alone, and cystoscopy performs better than urine cytology.[6] 5[A]Evidence Evidence has demonstrated that even after a negative initial work-up (including IVU and urine cytology), cystoscopy identified lesions in approximately a further 25% of patients, with up to 56% of lesions labelled as "significant".[40]

Urine tumour markers

Using urinary tumour markers for diagnosing urinary tract cancer has not demonstrated adequate specificity for reliable diagnosis in research trials. However, the markers may play a role in assessing treatment efficacy and in identifying recurrences after treatment.[14] [13]

Differential diagnosis overview

| Common |
|--|
| Menstruation |
| Cystitis (UTI) |
| Pyelonephritis |
| Nephrolithiasis |
| Acute prostatitis |
| Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) |
| Trauma (sexual activity, exercise, contusion) |
| Uncommon |
| Bladder stone |
| Renal cell carcinoma |
| Transitional cell carcinoma (kidney or ureter) |
| Simple renal cyst |
| Polycystic kidney disease |
| Medullary sponge kidney |
| Atrophic kidney |
| Calyceal diverticulum |
| Renal infarction |
| Renal vein thrombosis |
| Arteriovenous malformations |
| Papillary necrosis |
| Sickle cell disease |

Uncommon

Hydronephrosis

Ureteropelvic junction obstruction

Vesicoureteral reflux

IgA nephropathy

Thin glomerular basement membrane disease

Acute glomerulonephritis

Lupus nephritis

Hereditary nephritis (Alport's syndrome)

Transitional cell carcinoma (bladder)

Cystitis (interstitial)

Cystitis (radiation-induced)

Cystitis (eosinophilic)

Bladder diverticulum

Bladder papilloma

Prostate cancer

Prostate stone

Bladder neck contracture

Urethritis

Urethral stricture

Phimosis

Penile cancer

HIV

Uncommon

Lymphoma

Multiple myeloma

Urinary tract tuberculosis

Differential diagnosis

| Common | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| ◇ Menstruation | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| identified by obtaining a menstrual history | typically no examination findings | » none : diagnosis is based on typical history | |
| ◇ Cystitis (UTI) | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| typically presents with dysuria, frequency, or urgency | suprapubic tenderness may be present; otherwise examination is often normal | » urine culture : $\geq 10^2$ colony-forming units | |
| ◇ Pyelonephritis | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| fever, chills, flank pain | costovertebral angle tenderness | » urinalysis : pyuria with WBC casts and bacteriuria | » urine culture : $\geq 10^2$ colony-forming units » ultrasound : may suggest inflammation or obstruction » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast : may suggest inflammation or obstruction |
| ◇ Nephrolithiasis | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| flank or groin pain | non-specific or may find flank tenderness | » helical CT of urinary tract without contrast : visible stone present | » IV urography : filling defect Should be used if helical CT is not available. |

| Common | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| ◇ Acute prostatitis | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| fever, dysuria, and frequency occur and are often associated with suprapubic, perineal, or sacral pain; obstructive symptoms may occur in severe cases | fever may be present and digital rectal examination demonstrates a tender "boggy" prostate; examination often diagnostic | » none : clinical examination often diagnostic | » culture of prostate secretions : positive growth of bacteria Prostate secretions may be obtained by prostate massage during digital rectal examination, but caution should be taken, as this may induce bacteraemia. |
| ◇ Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| urine outflow obstruction symptoms (difficulty voiding, changes in urine volume, lower abdominal discomfort or bladder fullness, and nocturia) occur | enlarged firm prostate on digital rectal examination; suprapubic tenderness may be present due to bladder fullness if severe obstruction; examination is often diagnostic | » post void residual volume : high post void residual volume suggests bladder outlet obstruction | » transrectal ultrasound : enlarged prostate Ultrasound is not routinely required because the examination and history are often diagnostic. |
| ◇ Trauma (sexual activity, exercise, contusion) | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| recent sexual activity, strenuous exercise, or injury to the back (costovertebral angle area) or genitalia | may reveal signs of trauma (mucosal tears, ecchymoses) of the external genitalia; typically no examination findings | » none : diagnosis is based on typical history and examination | |
| Uncommon | | | |
| ◇ Bladder stone | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| dysuria or frequency may occur | no specific examination findings | » ultrasound : presence of shadowing that moves with patient repositioning | » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast : visible stone that moves with patient repositioning » IV urography : filling defect within bladder |

DIAGNOSIS

Uncommon

◊ **Bladder stone**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---------|------|----------|---|
| | | | » virtual cystoscopy: visible stone |

◊ **Renal cell carcinoma**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|---|--|--|
| more common in men and associated with risk factors for urinary tract cancer including age >40 years; tobacco use; occupational exposure to dyes, benzenes, aromatic amines; use of medication containing phenacetin (available only in Japan), cyclophosphamide, and aristolochic acid (in some herbal weight loss preparations); family history of renal cell carcinoma; flank pain | examination normal or a renal mass may be palpable; evidence of anaemia can occur as well as paraneoplastic syndromes | » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast: solid renal mass with contrast enhancement | » IV urography (IVU): enhancing solid renal mass IVU may miss small renal lesions, making helical CT a better upper tract diagnostic study, with a 96% sensitivity for renal neoplasms and 91% sensitivity for ureteral lesions.[25] [32] » renal ultrasound: solid renal mass Renal ultrasound may miss small renal lesions, making helical CT a better upper tract diagnostic study, with a 96% sensitivity for renal neoplasms and 91% sensitivity for ureteral lesions.[25] [32] |

◊ **Transitional cell carcinoma (kidney or ureter)**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|---|---|---|
| microscopic or gross haematuria (in 70% to 95%), pain (in 8% to 40%), bladder irritation (in 5% to 10%), or constitutional symptoms (in <5%)[17] [15] | generally normal, rarely a flank mass is palpable | » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast : filling defect or visualised non-cystic mass with contrast enhancement | » IV urography (IVU): filling defect IVU may miss small renal lesions, making helical CT a better upper tract diagnostic study, with a 96% sensitivity for renal neoplasms and 91% sensitivity for ureteral lesions.[25] [32] » urine cytology: positive for malignant cells |

| Uncommon | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| ◇ Transitional cell carcinoma (kidney or ureter) | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| | | | Lower yield with upper tract tumours; better yield with bladder tumours, but sensitivity ranges between 66% and 79% for the lower urinary tract. ^[6] » ureteroscopy with biopsy: biopsy positive for malignant cells |
| ◇ Simple renal cyst | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| usually found incidentally; flank pain may be the presenting symptom | generally normal; rarely a flank mass is palpable | » renal ultrasound: fluid-filled (cystic) mass | » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast: fluid-filled (cystic) mass » CT-guided aspiration: aspiration of fluid Aspiration of fluid differentiates a cyst from a solid tumour but is rarely necessary; cytology studies negative for malignancy confirm a benign cyst. |
| ◇ Polycystic kidney disease | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| most common presenting symptoms abdominal pain and haematuria; positive family history may be present | hypertension, palpable kidney or liver, and/or a cardiac murmur may be present | » renal ultrasound: 2 cysts (if <30 years); 2 cysts each kidney (if 30-59 years); 4 cysts each kidney (if ≥60 years) | » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast: multiple cystic lesions bilateral kidneys Adequate for diagnosis and can replace ultrasound first-line as part of work-up for haematuria. |

DIAGNOSIS

Uncommon

◊ Medullary sponge kidney

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|--------------------|--|--|
| most patients are asymptomatic and go undiagnosed; risk is increased for calculus and infection renal colic; dysuria or haematuria may be presenting symptoms | generally negative | » IV urography (IVU): dilated collecting tubules; stones may be present within the collecting tubules | » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast: dilated collecting tubules; stones may be present within the collecting tubules Adequate for diagnosis and can replace IVU first-line as part of work-up for haematuria. |

◊ Atrophic kidney

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|---|---|--|
| often no specific history suggests atrophic kidney, although occurs with some congenital abnormalities; history of chronic pyelonephritis, renal artery stenosis, or obstructive uropathy | typically normal but there may be findings of congenital abnormality; in older people hypertension or an abdominal bruit may exist suggesting renal artery stenosis | » IV urography: atrophic kidney Adequate renal function should be established before giving contrast. | » helical CT of urinary tract with or without IV contrast: atrophic kidney Adequate renal function should be established before giving contrast. |

◊ Calyceal diverticulum

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|--------------|---|-------------|
| no presenting symptoms, generally an incidental finding | non-specific | » IV urography: visible diverticulum | |

◊ Renal infarction

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| patient may have no symptoms; if occlusion is acute, then aching flank or abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, fever, haematuria, and rarely new-onset hypertension may occur; may be history of acute myocardial infarction, atrial fibrillation, | examination is non-diagnostic | » CT angiography : renal artery occlusion Adequate renal function should be established before giving contrast; non-ionic contrast material, if available, is preferred. | » magnetic resonance angiography (MRA): renal artery occlusion Useful when abnormal renal function precludes use of potentially nephrotoxic contrast material with CT angiography. |

Uncommon

◊ Renal infarction

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|------|----------|--|
| endocarditis, trauma, surgery, or angiography as a precipitating event | | | » renal artery Doppler: renal artery occlusion Reasonable alternative when MRA not available or cost prohibitive; test is operator-dependent and patient obesity can interfere. |

◊ Renal vein thrombosis

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| symptoms of renal failure, nausea/vomiting, haematuria, and decreased urine output; flank or abdominal pain may be present; history of systemic hypercoagulability | examination is non-diagnostic | » CT with IV contrast : renal vein occlusion Adequate renal function should be established before giving contrast; non-ionic contrast material, if available, is preferred. | » renal venography: renal vein occlusion Adequate renal function should be established before giving contrast. » magnetic resonance venography: renal vein occlusion Preferred test if impaired renal function does not allow use of contrast material. |

◊ Arteriovenous malformations

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|--|--|-------------|
| most commonly associated with previous trauma (e.g., needle biopsy, surgery) or may be congenital | auscultation for abdominal bruits may help support the diagnosis | » renal angiography : visible arteriovenous malformation | |

◊ Papillary necrosis

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|------------------------|---|-------------|
| occurs with prolonged and excessive use of analgesics, especially non-steroidal | generally non-specific | » CT without contrast: decreased renal volume, bumpy renal contours, and papillary calcifications | |

Uncommon

◊ Papillary necrosis

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|------|----------|-------------|
| anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (e.g., patients with a history of chronic pain or headaches); patients may present with complaint of pain resembling ureteric colic | | | |

◊ Sickle cell disease

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|---|--|--|
| presenting symptoms of renal colic or flank pain and haematuria may occur; history of sickle disease with prior episodes of a pain crisis; more common among black people | examination is non-specific with possible costovertebral angle tenderness | » CBC with peripheral smear: sickle-shaped RBCs | » haemoglobin electrophoresis: presence of haemoglobin S » CT angiography: papillary necrosis Haematuria is believed to be caused by ischaemia within the renal papillae producing episodes of papillary necrosis. |

◊ Hydronephrosis

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|---|--|--|
| flank pain especially if acute and concurrent to nephrolithiasis; history of urinary obstructive disease with voiding difficulties (lower urinary tract obstruction); bladder pain may occur if lower tract obstruction | generally non-specific; rarely a flank mass is palpable; costovertebral angle tenderness may occur, and abdominal examination may identify palpable tender bladder with lower tract obstruction | » IV urography: dilated kidney with dilated collecting system (calyces, ureter) | » renal ultrasound: may suggest obstruction » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast: dilated kidney with dilated collecting system (calyces, ureter) |

◊ Ureteropelvic junction obstruction

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|--|---|--|
| abrupt onset of flank pain particularly after consuming large quantities of fluids | generally non-specific but with possible costovertebral tenderness | » nuclear renal scan : obstruction with hydronephrosis | » IV urography: obstruction with hydronephrosis |

| Uncommon | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| ◇ Vesicoureteral reflux | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| usually presents with history of recurrent UTI or pyelonephritis and more commonly in children; rarely renal pain with voiding occurs; voiding difficulties (e.g., adult men with BPH) | non-specific | »voiding cysto-urethrogram : urine reflux from the bladder into the upper urinary tract Reflux is graded based on the height of the reflux within the ureter and the anatomical distortion of the ureter and kidney. | |
| ◇ IgA nephropathy | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| often recurrent painless macroscopic haematuria; Henoch-Schonlein purpura; more common in people from the Mediterranean and Pacific Rim, less common in North Americans; more common in men | ranges from normal (asymptomatic haematuria) to hypertension or oedema in patients with nephrotic syndrome and progressed disease, but no specific examination finding confirms the diagnosis | » urinalysis : proteinuria Proteinuria occurs in 30% to 40% of cases and, although not diagnostic when present, it is highly suggestive of a glomerular disorder.[3] [22] [25] [29] [30] 3[B]Evidence | » renal biopsy : IgA deposition in the mesangium with mesangial proliferation as the disease progresses |
| ◇ Thin glomerular basement membrane disease | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| often positive family history without family history of renal failure | a lack of examination findings helps to distinguish this from other glomerular disorders | » urine microscopy : RBC casts; no proteinuria RBC casts suggest an upper tract glomerular source of bleeding; proteinuria is absent in this disorder, differentiating it from other glomerular disorders.[3] [20] [25] [28] 2[B]Evidence | » renal biopsy : extremely thin glomerular basement membrane A presumptive diagnosis is made without renal biopsy in most cases based on family history of haematuria without progression to renal insufficiency. |

DIAGNOSIS

Uncommon

◊ **Acute glomerulonephritis**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|--|--|---|
| often associated with fever from recent infection (e.g., streptococcal infection); there may be nausea and vomiting, oedema, sore throat, rash, arthralgia, and complaints of dark urine or oliguria; a history of hepatitis, endocarditis, or systemic autoimmune disease may suggest a cause | examination could demonstrate hypertension, skin changes (jaundice, rash, purpura), pericardial rub with uraemia, ascites (liver failure), oedema, arthritis, or neurological abnormalities with renal failure | <p>»urinalysis: proteinuria The presence of haematuria with proteinuria is highly suggestive of a glomerular source of bleeding.[3] [22] [25] [29] [30] 3[B]Evidence</p> <p>»microscopic examination of urine: RBC casts RBC casts suggest upper tract glomerular bleeding.[3] [20] [25] [28] 2[B]Evidence</p> | <p>»renal biopsy: proliferative glomerular changes and/or immunoglobulin deposits (varies with specific disease state) Renal biopsy unnecessary when specific source for acute glomerulonephritis is identified (e.g., poststreptococcal).</p> |

◊ **Lupus nephritis**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|---|--|--|
| multi-system disease that may include CNS, heart, or lung complaints; more often presents with arthralgia, rash, or Raynaud's phenomenon | American College of Rheumatology criteria establish the diagnosis; examination findings included among the criteria are malar rash, discoid rash, oral ulcers, arthritis, and serositis; ^[41] examination may identify abnormalities associated with CNS, heart, or lung involvement | <p>»urinalysis: proteinuria The presence of haematuria with proteinuria is highly suggestive of a glomerular source of bleeding.[3] [22] [25] [29] [30] 3[B]Evidence</p> <p>»microscopic examination of urine: RBC casts RBC casts suggest upper tract glomerular bleeding.[3] [20] [25] [28] 2[B]Evidence</p> | <p>»ANA: positive with higher titres being more suggestive (1:160 highly suggestive) ANA is one of the American College of Rheumatology diagnostic criteria; at least 4 of the 11 criteria are required for the diagnosis.</p> <p>»renal biopsy: glomerular or tubular deposits of immunoglobulin and complement in a granular pattern</p> |

◊ **Hereditary nephritis (Alport's syndrome)**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|---|---|--|
| more common in males, and family history may suggest the diagnosis; ocular changes and sensorineural hearing loss also occur | hearing loss and eye examination findings such as perimacular pigment changes and lenticonus (congenital lens abnormalities) may be | <p>»urinalysis: proteinuria The presence of haematuria with proteinuria is highly suggestive of a glomerular source of bleeding. Ultimately, findings of</p> | <p>»renal biopsy: reticulation and thickening of the glomerular basement membrane</p> |

Uncommon

◊ Hereditary nephritis (Alport's syndrome)

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---------|------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| | identified along with hypertension | renal failure may present.[3] [22] [25] [29] [30] 3[B]Evidence | |

◊ Transitional cell carcinoma (bladder)

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|--------------|---|-------------|
| more common in men and associated with risk factors for urinary tract cancer including age >40 years; tobacco use; occupational exposure to dyes, benzenes, and aromatic amines; use of medication containing phenacetin (available only in Japan), cyclophosphamide, and aristolochic acid (in some herbal weight loss preparations) | non-specific | » cystoscopy with biopsy: biopsy demonstrating transitional cell carcinoma | |

◊ Cystitis (interstitial)

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| more common in women; symptoms may increase with stress, menses, or sexual intercourse and include urgency, frequency, or pressure and pain in the pelvic and perineal area; pain in men may involve the penis or scrotum | suprapubic tenderness may be present | » cystoscopy : visible bladder wall inflammation | » urinary bladder biopsy: variable Not routinely needed unless excluding other conditions. |

◊ Cystitis (radiation-induced)

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| symptoms of urgency or frequency, or pressure or pain in the pelvic and perineal area in a patient | suprapubic tenderness may be present | » cystoscopy : pale mucosa and telangiectasia | |

Uncommon

◊ **Cystitis (radiation-induced)**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|------|----------|-------------|
| with a history of irradiation cancer therapy | | | |

◊ **Cystitis (eosinophilic)**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| symptoms of urgency or frequency, or pressure or pain in the pelvic and perineal area | suprapubic tenderness may be present | » cystoscopy : biopsy demonstrates eosinophilia | |

◊ **Bladder diverticulum**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|--|--|--|
| may be associated with obstructive symptoms and recurrent UTI | suprapubic tenderness may be present if obstruction occurs | » cystoscopy : visible diverticulum | » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast : visible diverticulum » virtual cystoscopy : visible diverticulum |

◊ **Bladder papilloma**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| obstructed urine flow | suprapubic tenderness if urine flow is obstructed | » cystoscopy : visible papilloma and pathology from biopsy demonstrating absence of cancer cells | » IV urography : filling defect within bladder » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast : filling defect within bladder » virtual cystoscopy : filling defect within bladder |

◊ **Prostate cancer**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|---|--|---|
| often no symptoms; may be associated with urine outflow obstruction symptoms (difficulty voiding, changes in urine volume, lower abdominal | palpable nodule or asymmetry may be present on digital rectal examination | » serum PSA : typically >4 micrograms/L (4 nanograms/mL) Prostate cancer occurs at PSA <4 micrograms/L (4 nanograms/mL); should be | » transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy : positive for cancer cells |

| Uncommon | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| ◇ Prostate cancer | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| discomfort or bladder fullness, and nocturia) | | suspected with progressively increasing PSA at any level. | |
| ◇ Prostate stone | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| rarely symptomatic or may be associated with chronic prostatitis | usually an incidental radiographic finding but if large could be palpable on digital rectal examination | » transrectal ultrasound: visible stone within the prostate | » helical CT of urinary tract : visible stone within the prostate |
| ◇ Bladder neck contracture | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| difficulty voiding, changes in urine volume, lower abdominal discomfort (bladder fullness) with or without history of recurrent UTI | palpable bladder fullness and suprapubic tenderness may be present | » post void residual volume: high post void residual volume suggests bladder outlet obstruction » cystoscopy : visible obstruction at bladder neck | » voiding cysto-urethrogram: visible obstruction at bladder neck |
| ◇ Urethritis | | | |
| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
| recent sex partner change may suggest STD; presents similar to typical UTI with dysuria, or frequency | may not be associated with abnormal examination findings; if caused by STD, men may have demonstrable penile discharge and women may have mucopurulent cervicitis | » urine culture: negative culture ($\geq 10^2$ colony-forming units confirms UTI) Urine culture is most often negative in urethritis but is warranted to exclude UTI. | » gonorrhoea/chlamydia DNA probe: positive for gonorrhoea or chlamydia Indicated when STD suspected. |

Uncommon

◊ **Urethral stricture**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|--|---|--|
| difficulty voiding, changes in urine volume, lower abdominal discomfort (bladder fullness) with or without history of recurrent UTI | palpable bladder fullness and suprapubic tenderness may be present | <p>»post void residual volume: high post void residual volume suggests bladder outlet obstruction</p> <p>»cystoscopy : visible obstruction within the urethra</p> | <p>»voiding cysto-urethrogram: visible obstruction within the urethra</p> |

◊ **Phimosis**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| inability to retract the foreskin with possible foreskin irritation or pain; may be history of UTI | inability to retract the foreskin | <p>»none: diagnosis is clinical based on inability to retract the foreskin</p> | |

◊ **Penile cancer**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|--|---|-------------|
| a non-healing or fungating painless lesion of the penis; most often in uncircumcised men | examination may demonstrate an erythematous lesion early in the course of disease; later the lesion becomes a non-healing ulcer or an exophytic fungating growth | <p>»penis biopsy : squamous cell carcinoma</p> | |

◊ **HIV**

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|--|--|-------------|
| symptoms from none to those of full-blown immunodeficiency; history of risk factors such as sexual activity and exposure to blood products | no specific examination findings confirm HIV; findings may be consistent with opportunistic infections suggestive of HIV | <p>»serum HIV test (ELISA and Western blot): positive antibodies on both ELISA and Western blot</p> | |

Uncommon

◇ Lymphoma

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|---|---|--|
| often presents with a complaint of lymphadenopathy; may also have fever, night sweats, and weight loss; symptoms of a mass effect may occur and vary by location of the mass (chest, abdomen, CNS, genitourinary) | the examination often demonstrates lymphadenopathy especially in the neck region; other findings vary based on the organ involved (e.g., pleural effusion in the chest) | » lymph node biopsy: positive for cancer cells | » helical CT of urinary tract with IV contrast: mass lesions with or without findings of urinary tract obstruction CT as a part of the work-up of MH may suggest lymphoma. |

◇ Multiple myeloma

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|---|---|---|--|
| bone pain with persistent generalised weakness and fatigue suggests the diagnosis | no specific examination finding confirms multiple myeloma but pallor is common and hepatosplenomegaly may occur | » serum protein electrophoresis: M-spike >2g for IgA but needs to be >3.5g for IgG Multiple myeloma is classically recognised by a triad of bone marrow plasmacytosis, lytic bone lesions, and a monoclonal gammopathy. | » bone marrow aspirate/biopsy: plasmacytosis >10% » bone radiographs (classically the skull): "punched out" lytic lesions |

◇ Urinary tract tuberculosis

| History | Exam | 1st Test | Other tests |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| symptoms like those of UTI occur: dysuria, frequency, or costovertebral angle pain with kidney involvement; history of previous episodes with sterile pyuria may indicate tuberculosis | no specific examination findings | » acid-fast bacillus urine culture: positive growth of mycobacterium | » CT urography (IV contrast): calcifications, cavitations, or signs of obstruction suggest tuberculosis |

Diagnostic guidelines

North America

SOGC clinical practice guideline: recurrent urinary tract infection

Published by: Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada

Last published: 2010

Online resources

1. [AUA: diagnosis, evaluation and follow-up of asymptomatic microhematuria \(AMH\) in adults](#) (*external link*)

Evidence scores

1. Identification of urinary tract cancer: there is medium-quality evidence that screening for haematuria to identify urinary tract cancer is not beneficial.[4] [8] [11] [19] [29]
Evidence level B: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of <200 participants, methodologically flawed RCTs of >200 participants, methodologically flawed systematic reviews (SRs) or good quality observational (cohort) studies.

2. Identification of renal source of haematuria: there is medium-quality evidence that the presence of dysmorphic RBC and RBC casts in the urine suggests renal parenchymal disease.[20] A cut-off value for dysmorphic cells of 80% accurately predicts a renal source.[28]
Evidence level B: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of <200 participants, methodologically flawed RCTs of >200 participants, methodologically flawed systematic reviews (SRs) or good quality observational (cohort) studies.

3. Identification of significant underlying renal disease: there is medium-quality evidence that haematuria concomitantly occurring with proteinuria suggests significant underlying renal disease.[22] [29] [30]
Evidence level B: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of <200 participants, methodologically flawed RCTs of >200 participants, methodologically flawed systematic reviews (SRs) or good quality observational (cohort) studies.

4. Identification of a source of haematuria: there is medium-quality evidence that in patients with a previously negative urological work-up including IV urography (IVU) or ultrasound, CT urography identified a source of recurrent haematuria with a sensitivity of 91% and specificity of 94%.[32] Comparison of CT urography and IVU findings demonstrated an upper urinary tract sensitivity of 94% and 50%, respectively.[37]
Evidence level B: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of <200 participants, methodologically flawed RCTs of >200 participants, methodologically flawed systematic reviews (SRs) or good quality observational (cohort) studies.

5. Excluding malignancy: there is good-quality evidence that urine cytology is not a useful test in ruling out malignancy or excluding patients from other diagnostic testing.[28]
Evidence level A: Systematic reviews (SRs) or randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of >200 participants.

Key articles

- Grossfeld GD, Litwin MS, Wolf JS, et al. Evaluation of asymptomatic microscopic hematuria in adults: the American Urological Association best practice policy - part I: definition, detection, prevalence and etiology. *Urology*. 2001;57:599-603. [Abstract](#)
- Chou R, Dana T. Screening adults for bladder cancer: a review of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;5:153:461-468. [Abstract](#)
- Nielsen M, Qaseem A; High Value Care Task Force of the American College of Physicians. Hematuria as a marker of occult urinary tract cancer: advice for high-value care from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;164:488-497. [Full text Abstract](#)
- Feldstein MS, Hentz JG, Gillett MD, et al. Should the upper tracts be imaged for microscopic haematuria? *BJU Int*. 2005;96:612-617. [Abstract](#)
- Grossfeld GD, Litwin MS, Wolf JS, et al. Evaluation of asymptomatic microscopic hematuria in adults: the American Urological Association best practice policy - part II: patient evaluation, cytology, voided markers, imaging, cystoscopy, nephrology evaluation, and follow-up. *Urology*. 2001;57:604-610. [Abstract](#)
- Rodgers M, Nixon J, Hempel S, et al. Diagnostic tests and algorithms used in the investigation of haematuria: systematic reviews and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess*. 2006;10:1-259. [Abstract](#)

References

1. Grossfeld GD, Litwin MS, Wolf JS, et al. Evaluation of asymptomatic microscopic hematuria in adults: the American Urological Association best practice policy - part I: definition, detection, prevalence and etiology. *Urology*. 2001;57:599-603. [Abstract](#)
2. Wollin T, Laroche B, Psooy K. Canadian guidelines for the management of asymptomatic microscopic hematuria in adults. *Can Urol Assoc J*. 2009;3:77-80. [Full text Abstract](#)
3. Tomson C, Porter T. Asymptomatic microscopic or dipstick haematuria in adults: which investigations for which patients? A review of the evidence. *BJU Int*. 2002;90:185-198. [Abstract](#)
4. Hiatt RA, Ordonez JD. Dipstick urinalysis screening, asymptomatic microhematuria, and subsequent urological cancers in a population-based sample. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 1994;3:439-443. [Published correction appears in *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 1994;3:523.] [Full text Abstract](#)
5. Edwards TJ, Dickinson AJ, Natale S, et al. A prospective analysis of the diagnostic yield resulting from 4020 patients at a protocol-driven haematuria clinic. *BJU Int*. 2006;97:301-305. [Abstract](#)
6. Cohen RA, Brown RS. Microscopic hematuria. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:2330-2338. [Abstract](#)
7. Chou R, Dana T. Screening adults for bladder cancer: a review of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;5:153:461-468. [Abstract](#)
8. Messing EM, Madeb R, Young T, et al. Long-term outcome of hematuria home screening for bladder cancer in men. *Cancer*. 2006;107:2173-2179. [Full text Abstract](#)
9. Alishahi S, Byrne D, Goodman CM, et al. Haematuria investigation based on a standard protocol: emphasis on the diagnosis of urological malignancy. *J R Coll Surg Edinb*. 2002;47:422-427. [Abstract](#)

10. Froom P, Ribak J, Benbassat J. Significance of microhaematuria in young adults. *BMJ*. 1984;288:20-22. [Full text Abstract](#)

11. Topham PS, Jethwa A, Watkins M, et al. The value of urine screening in a young adult population. *Fam Pract*. 2004;21:18-21. [Full text Abstract](#)

12. Mishra VC, Rowe E, Rao AR, et al. Role of i.v. urography in patients with haematuria. *Scand J Urol Nephrol*. 2004;38:236-239. [Abstract](#)

13. Ecke TH. Focus on urinary bladder cancer markers: a review. *Minerva Urol Nefrol*. 2008;60:237-246. [Abstract](#)

14. van Rhijn BW, van der Poel HG, van der Kwast TH. Cytology and urinary markers for the diagnosis of bladder cancer. *Eur Urol Suppl*. 2009;8:535-566.

15. Friedman GD, Carroll PR, Cattolica EV, et al. Can hematuria be a predictor as well as a symptom or sign of bladder cancer? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 1996;5:993-996. [Full text Abstract](#)

16. Sugimura K, Ikemoto S, Kawashima H, et al. Microscopic hematuria as a screening marker for urinary tract malignancies. *Int J Urol*. 2001;8:1-5. [Abstract](#)

17. Jones R, Latinovic R, Charlton J, et al. Alarm symptoms in early diagnosis of cancer in primary care: cohort study using general practice research database. *BMJ*. 2007;334:1040. [Full text Abstract](#)

18. Nielsen M, Qaseem A; High Value Care Task Force of the American College of Physicians. Hematuria as a marker of occult urinary tract cancer: advice for high-value care from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;164:488-497. [Full text Abstract](#)

19. Feldstein MS, Hentz JG, Gillett MD, et al. Should the upper tracts be imaged for microscopic haematuria? *BJU Int*. 2005;96:612-617. [Abstract](#)

20. Fracchia JA, Motta J, Miller L, et al. Evaluation of asymptomatic microhematuria. *Urology*. 1995;46:484-489. [Abstract](#)

21. Ohisa N, Kanemitsu K, Matsuki R, et al. Evaluation of hematuria using the urinary albumin-to-total-protein ratio to differentiate glomerular and nonglomerular bleeding. *Clin Exp Nephrol*. 2007;11:61-65. [Abstract](#)

22. Shen P, Ding X, Ten J, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics and outcome of adult patients with hematuria and/or proteinuria found during routine examination. *Nephron Clin Pract*. 2006;103:c149-c156. [Abstract](#)

23. Cespedes RD, Peretsman SJ, Blatt SP. The significance of hematuria in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *J Urol*. 1995;154:1455-1456. [Abstract](#)

24. Jones GR, Newhouse IJ, Jakobi JM, et al. The incidence of hematuria in middle distance track running. *Can J Appl Physiol*. 2001;26:336-349. [Abstract](#)

25. Grossfeld GD, Litwin MS, Wolf JS, et al. Evaluation of asymptomatic microscopic hematuria in adults: the American Urological Association best practice policy - part II: patient evaluation, cytology, voided markers, imaging, cystoscopy, nephrology evaluation, and follow-up. *Urology*. 2001;57:604-610. [Abstract](#)

26. Gun RT, Seymour AE, Mathew TH. A cluster of haematuria cases in a pesticide-manufacturing plant. *Occup Med*. 1998;48:59-62. [Full text](#)

27. Paner GP, Zehnder P, Amin AM, et al. Urothelial neoplasms of the urinary bladder occurring in young adult and pediatric patients: a comprehensive review of literature with implications for patient management. *Adv Anat Pathol*. 2011;18:79-89. [Abstract](#)

28. Rodgers M, Nixon J, Hempel S, et al. Diagnostic tests and algorithms used in the investigation of haematuria: systematic reviews and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess*. 2006;10:1-259. [Abstract](#)

29. Yamagata K, Takahashi H, Tomida C, et al. Prognosis of asymptomatic hematuria and/or proteinuria in men. High prevalence of IgA nephropathy among proteinuria patients found in mass screening. *Nephron*. 2002;91:34-42. [Abstract](#)

30. Hall CL, Bradley R, Kerr A, et al. Clinical value of renal biopsy in patients with asymptomatic microscopic hematuria with and without low-grade proteinuria. *Clin Nephrol*. 2004;62:267-272. [Abstract](#)

31. McGregor DO, Lynn KL, Bailey RR, et al. Clinical audit of the use of renal biopsy in the management of isolated microscopic hematuria. *Clin Nephrol*. 1998;49:345-348. [Abstract](#)

32. Lang EK, Thomas R, Davis R, et al. Multiphasic helical computerized tomography for the assessment of microscopic hematuria: a prospective study. *J Urol*. 2004;171:237-243. [Abstract](#)

33. Lang EK, Macchia RJ, Thomas R, et al. Computerized tomography tailored for the assessment of microscopic hematuria. *J Urol*. 2002;167(2 Pt 1):547-554. [Abstract](#)

34. Qu X, Huang X, Wu L, et al. Comparison of virtual cystoscopy and ultrasonography for bladder cancer detection: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Radiol*. 2011;80:188-197. [Abstract](#)

35. Mitterberger M, Pinggera GM, Neuwiert H, et al. Three-dimensional ultrasonography of the urinary bladder: preliminary experience of assessment in patients with haematuria. *BJU Int*. 2007;99:111-116. [Full text Abstract](#)

36. Van Der Molen AJ, Cowan NC, Mueller-Lisse UG, et al. CT urography: definition, indications and techniques: a guideline for clinical practice. *Eur Radiol*. 2008;18:4-17. [Abstract](#)

37. Albani JM, Ciaschini MW, Streem SB, et al. The role of computerized tomographic urography in the initial evaluation of hematuria. *J Urol*. 2007;177:644-648. [Abstract](#)

38. Dikranian AH, Petitti DB, Shapiro CE, et al. Intravenous urography in evaluation of asymptomatic microscopic hematuria. *J Endourol*. 2005;19:595-597. [Abstract](#)

39. Jaffe JS, Ginsberg PC, Gill R, et al. A new diagnostic algorithm for the evaluation of microscopic hematuria. *Urology*. 2001;57:889-894. [Abstract](#)

40. Hong SK, Ahn C, Kim HH. The value of cystoscopy as an initial diagnostic modality for asymptomatic microscopic hematuria. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2001;16:309-312. [Full text Abstract](#)

41. Hahn BH, McMahon M, Wilkinson A, et al. American College of Rheumatology guidelines for screening, case definition, treatment and management of lupus nephritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2012; 64:797-808. [Full text](#)

Disclaimer

This content is meant for medical professionals situated outside of the United States and Canada. The BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ Group") tries to ensure that the information provided is accurate and up-to-date, but we do not warrant that it is nor do our licensors who supply certain content linked to or otherwise accessible from our content. The BMJ Group does not advocate or endorse the use of any drug or therapy contained within nor does it diagnose patients. Medical professionals should use their own professional judgement in using this information and caring for their patients and the information herein should not be considered a substitute for that.

This information is not intended to cover all possible diagnosis methods, treatments, follow up, drugs and any contraindications or side effects. In addition such standards and practices in medicine change as new data become available, and you should consult a variety of sources. We strongly recommend that users independently verify specified diagnosis, treatments and follow up and ensure it is appropriate for your patient within your region. In addition, with respect to prescription medication, you are advised to check the product information sheet accompanying each drug to verify conditions of use and identify any changes in dosage schedule or contraindications, particularly if the agent to be administered is new, infrequently used, or has a narrow therapeutic range. You must always check that drugs referenced are licensed for the specified use and at the specified doses in your region. This information is provided on an "as is" basis and to the fullest extent permitted by law the BMJ Group and its licensors assume no responsibility for any aspect of healthcare administered with the aid of this information or any other use of this information.

View our full [Website Terms and Conditions](#).

BMJ Best Practice

Contributors:

// Authors:

Timothy J. Benton, MD

Regional Chairman

Associate Professor, Department of Family and Community Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center at the Permian Basin, Odessa, TX

DISCLOSURES: TJB declares that he has no competing interests.

Beverly Axe-Lewis, DO

Associate Professor

Regional Chief Medical Informatics Officer, Medical Director Haven Health Clinics, Department of Family Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Amarillo, TX

DISCLOSURES: BAL declares that she has no competing interests.

// Peer Reviewers:

Robert Tompkins, MD

Associate Professor

Department of Family Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center, Tyler, TX

DISCLOSURES: RT declares that he has no competing interests.

Junaid Masood, MBBS, FRCS (Eng), MSc (Urol), FRCS (Urol)

Consultant Urological Surgeon

Bart's and The London NHS Trust, London, UK

DISCLOSURES: JM declares that he has no competing interests.