

# **PERCHÉ LA LETTERATURA (INGLESE)**

## **Letteratura Inglese II**

**Corso di Laurea Interclasse in**

## **LETTERATURE E LINGUE MODERNE E CLASSICHE**

**Anno accademico 2012-2013**

## **BIBLIOGRAFIA**

### **Teoria della letteratura**

Tim Gillespie, “Why Literature Matters”, *The English Journal*, Vol. 83, No. 8 (Dec., 1994), pp. 16-21.

Gao Xingjian and Mabel Lee, “The Case for Literature”, *PMLA*, Vol. 116, No. 3 (May, 2001), pp. 594-608.

Karen Newman, “Why Literature Now?”, *PMLA*, Vol. 117, No. 3 (May, 2002), pp. 501-503.

Frédérique Chevillot, “Teaching Desire and Form before Content”, *Pacific Coast Philology*, Vol. 43 (2008), pp. 28-31.

### **Geoffrey Chaucer, *The Canterbury Tales*, end of 14<sup>th</sup> century**

Paul Strohm, “Some Generic Distinctions in the *Canterbury Tales*”, *Modern Philology*, Vol. 68, No. 4 (May, 1971), pp. 321-328.

Donald R. Howard, “*The Canterbury Tales*: Memory and Form”, *English Literary History*, Vol. 38, No. 3 (Sep., 1971), pp. 319-328.

Elton D. Higgs, “The Old Order and the ‘Newe World’ in the General Prologue to the *Canterbury Tales*”, *Huntington Library Quarterly*, Vol. 45, No. 2 (Spring, 1982), pp. 155-173.

**Philip Sidney, *An Apology for Poetry* (or, *The Defence of Poesy*) approximately 1579, published posthumous in 1595**

Virginia Riley Hyman, “Sidney’s Definition of Poetry”, *Studies in English Literature, 1500-1900*, Vol. 10, No. 1, The English Renaissance (Winter, 1970), pp. 49-62.

Robert M. Strozier, ‘Poetic Conception in Sir Philip Sidney’s *An Apology for Poetry*’, *The Yearbook of English Studies*, Vol. 2 (1972), pp. 49-60.

John Webster, “Oration and Method in Sidney’s *Apology*: A Contemporary’s Account, *Modern Philology*, Vol. 79, No. 1 (Aug., 1981), pp. 1-15.

### **John Donne, *Holy Sonnets*, 1609-1610; *Songs and Sonnets*, 1633**

Horace Ainsworth Eaton, The “Songs and Sonnets” of John Donne, *The Sewanee Review*, Vol. 22, No. 1 (Jan., 1914), pp. 50-72.

John N. Wall, Jr., ‘Donne’s Wit of Redemption: The Drama of Prayer in the *Holy Sonnets*’, *Studies in Philology*, Vol. 73, No. 2 (Apr., 1976), pp. 189-203.

Nancy Selleck, “Donne’s Body”, *Studies in English Literature, 1500-1900*, Vol. 41, No. 1, The English Renaissance (Winter, 2001), pp. 149-174.

### **Aphra Behn, *The Rover or The Banish’d Cavaliers*, 1677**

Elin Diamond, “Gestus and Signature in Aphra Behn’s *The Rover*”, *ELH*, Vol. 56, No. 3 (Autumn, 1989), pp. 519-541.

Susan Carlson, “Cannibalizing and Carnivalizing: Reviving Aphra Behn’s *The Rover*”, *Theatre Journal*, Vol. 47, No. 4, Eighteenth-Century Representations (Dec., 1995), pp. 517-539.

Anita Pacheco, “Rape and the Female Subject in Aphra Behn’s *The Rover*”, *ELH*, Vol. 65, No. 2 (Summer, 1998), pp. 323-345.

Stephen Szilagyi, “The Sexual Politics of Behn’s *Rover*: After Patriarchy”, *Studies in Philology*, Vol. 95, No. 4 (Autumn, 1998), pp. 435-455.

### **Alexander Pope, *The Rape of the Lock*, 1712, II ed. 1714**

Ralph Cohen, “Transformation in *The Rape of the Lock*”, *Eighteenth-Century Studies*, Vol. 2, No. 3 (Spring, 1969), pp. 205-224.

Ralph Cohen, “The Reversal of Gender in *The Rape of the Lock*”, *South Atlantic Bulletin*, Vol. 37, No. 4 (Nov., 1972), pp. 54-60.

W. K. Wimsatt, “Belinda Ludens: Strife and Play in *The Rape of the Lock*”, *New Literary History*, Vol. 4, No. 2, On Interpretation: II (Winter, 1973), pp. 357-374.

Pat Rogers, “Faery Lore and *The Rape of the Lock*”, *The Review of English Studies*, New Series, Vol. 25, No. 97 (Feb., 1974), pp. 25-38.

### **Christina Rossetti, *Goblin Market*, composed in 1859, published in 1862**

Lona Mosk Packer, “Symbol and Reality in Christina Rossetti’s *Goblin Market*”, *PMLA*, Vol. 73, No. 4 (Sep., 1958), pp. 375-385.

Elizabeth K. Helsinger, “Consumer Power and the Utopia of Desire: Christina Rossetti’s *Goblin Market*”, *ELH*, Vol. 58, No. 4 (Winter, 1991), pp. 903-933.

Sean C. Grass, “Nature’s Perilous Variety in Rossetti’s *Goblin Market*”, *Nineteenth-Century Literature*, Vol. 51, No. 3 (Dec., 1996), pp. 356-376.

Victor Roman Mendoza, “‘Come Buy’: The Crossing of Sexual and Consumer Desire in Christina Rossetti’s *Goblin Market*”, *ELH*, Vol. 73, No. 4 (Winter, 2006), pp. 913-947.

### **George Bernard Shaw, *Back to Methuselah*, 1921**

Paul Lewton, “George Bernard Shaw: Theory, Language, and Drama in the Nineties”, *The Yearbook of English Studies*, Vol. 9, Theatrical Literature Special Number (1979), pp. 154-166.

Giovanna Franci, *Back to Methuselah. A Metabiological Pentateuch*, in *Dictionary of Literary Utopias*, edited by Vita Fortunati and Raymond Trousson (Paris: Champion, 2000), pp. 77-78.

### **Antonia S. Byatt, ‘Morpho Eugenia’, in *Angels and Insects*, 1995**

Heidi Hansson, “The Double Voice of Metaphor: A. S. Byatt’s ‘Morpho Eugenia’”, *Twentieth Century Literature*, Vol. 45, No. 4 (Winter, 1999), pp. 452-466.

Paola Spinozzi, “Sapere (è) rappresentare. Evoluzioni della conoscenza in *Morpho Eugenia* di A. S. Byatt”, in *Le riscritture del postmoderno. Percorsi angloamericani*, ed. by Ornella De Zordo and Fiorenzo Fantaccini (Bari: Palomar athenaeum, 2002), pp. 355-388.