MODERN CRIMINAL SCIENCE SERIES.

Published under the auspices of the American Institute of Criminal Law

1. Modern Theories of Criminality. By C. Bernaldo de Quirós, of Madrid. Translated from the Second Spanish Edition, by Dr. Alphonso de Madrid. Translated from the Second Spanish Edition, by Dr. Alphonso de Madrid. Translated from the Second Spanish Edition, by W. W. Salvio, Assistant Profesor of Romance Languages in Northwestern University. With an American Preface by the Author, and an Introduction by W. W. With an American Preface by the Author, and an Introduction by W. W. Salvinens, Esq., of Philadelphia, Secretary of the Comparative Law Bureau of the American Bar Association.

2. Criminal Psychology. By Hans Gross, Professor of Criminal Law in the University of Graz, Austria, Editor of the "Archives of Criminal Anthrothe University of Graz, Austria, Editor of the Fourth German edition, pology and Criminalistics," etc. Translated from the Fourth German edition, pology and Criminalistics, "etc. Translated from the Harvard University. The Dr. Horace M. Kallen, Lecturer in Philosophy in Harvard University. By Dr. Horace by the Author, and an Introduction by Joseph With an American Preface by the Author, and an Introduction by Joseph With an Arranow, Professor of Psychology in the University of Wisconsin.

3. Crime, Its Causes and Remedies. By CESARE LOMBROSO, late Pro-graphiatry and Legal Medicine in the University of Turin, author of the "Criminal Man," etc., Founder and Editor of the "Archives of Psychiatry the "Criminal Man," etc., Founder and Editor of the German editions by and Penal Sciences." Translated from the French and Cerman introduction by and Penal Sciences, "Translated from the Mo. With an Introduction by Rev. Henry P. Horron, M. A., of Columbia, Mo. With an Introduction of MAURICE PARMELEE, Associate Professor of Sociology in the University of Microweight.

4. The Individualization of Punishment. By RATMOND SALEILLES, Pro-fessor of Comparative Law in the University of Paris. Translated from the fessor of Comparative Law in the University of Madison, Wis. Second French edition, by Mrs. RACHAEL SZOLD JASTROW, of Madison, Wis.

5. Criminal Sociology. By ENRICO FERRI, Member of the Roman Bar, and Professor of Criminal Law and Procedure in the University of Rome, and Professor of Criminal Law and Procedure in Sciences, the "Positivist and Professor of Archives of Psychiatry and Penal Sciences," the "Translated from the Fourth Italian, School in Penal Theory and Practice," etc. Translated from the Fourth Italian, School in Penal Theory and Practice, "etc. Translated from the Fourth Italian, and Second French edition, by JOSEPH I. KELLY, Esq., formerly Lecturer on School in Northwestern University, and Dean of the Faculty of Law in Roman Law in Northwestern University, and Dean of the Faculty of Law in the Unithe University of Louisiana. With an American Preface by the Author, and an Introduction by CHARLES A. ELLWOOD, Professor of Sociology in the Unition of Northwestern University of Louisiana.

6. Penal Philosophy. By GABRIEL TARDE, Late Magistrate in Picardy, for Penal Philosophy in the College of France, and Lecturer in the Professor of Modern Philosophy in the College of France, and Lecturer in the Professor of Political Science. Translated from the Fourth French edition, Paris School of Political Science. Translated York City. by RAPELJE HOWELL, Esq., of the Bar of New York City.

7. Criminality and Economic Conditions. By W. A. Bonger, Doctor in Law of the University of Amsterdam. Translated from the French by Henry P. Horron, M. A., of Columbia, Mo. 8. Criminology. By RAFFAELLE GAROFALO, former President of the Court of Appeals of Naples. Translated from the First Italian and the Fifth French edition, by ROBERT W. MILLAR, Esq., of Chicago, Lecturer in Northwestern Unicality I am School

By Gushav Aschaffenburg, Professor of the y. Crime and its Repression. By Gushav Aschaffenburg, Editor of the Psychiatry in the Academy of Practical Medicine at Cologne, Editor of the Psychiatry in the Academy of Practical Medicine at Cologne, Transpection of Monthly Journal of Criminal Psychology and Criminal Law Reform." Translated from the Second German edition by Adalbert Albrecht, of South Easton, Mass.

THE MODERN CRIMINAL SCIENCE SERIES

1

回 207 706

Published under the Auspices of

THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CRIMINAL LAW AND CRIMINOLOGY

The Individualization of Punishment

By RAYMOND SALEILLES

Professor of Comparative Law in the University of Paris and in the College of Social Science

WITH AN INTRODUCTION BY GABRIEL TARDE Late Magistrate in Picardy and Professor of Philosophy in the College of France

Translated from the second French edition by RACHEL SZOLD JASTROW

With an Introduction by ROSCOE POUND

Professor of Law in Harvard University

Sistema Bibliotecario di Ateneo Università degli Studi di Ferrara

Serie UN1 N. 143423

LITTLE, BROWN, AND COMPANY BOSTON

INTRODUCTION TO THE ENGLISH VERSION

go before our law-making, as they precede law-making elsewith concrete cases and have in some measure learned how and the decisions construing them, is to-day a formidable that it is not a good one. For the theory of our common developed. Moreover, thinking men have agreed long ago our penal codes; and, although we are coming to have legisof punishment in our Anglo-American common law and in governed. Hence we have a general theory of crime and judge, formulates the rules by which future causes are to be where. They are developed consciously or subconsciously to dispose of them. But it is also true that such theories juristic theories come after lawyer and judge have dealt that in Anglo-American law, more than in other systems, the law of England, he stated a half-truth only. It is true absence of general theories good or bad which distinguished the fate of the statute of Washington as to the defense of over construction of statutes requiring action at one's peril obstacle in the way of modern legislation, as the conflict juristic thinking, as well as formulated in our penal codes theory, intrenched in our bills of rights and in common-law before the legislator, or under our system of case law the law and of our penal codes is the classical theory. This judicial decision in which that general theory is consistently latter fits with difficulty into a system of legislation and of lation here and there proceeding upon other theories, the WHEN Sir James Stephen spoke, not without praise, of the

insanity, and the constitutional difficulties encountered by probation laws abundantly bear witness. Not many years ago a learned Supreme Court released a child from a reformatory on the ground that a reformatory was a prison, that commitment thereto was necessarily punishment for crime, and hence that such commitment could be warranted only by criminal proceedings of a formal type, conducted with due regard to constitutional safeguards. The rise of Juvenile Courts, justified to the lawyer by the fortunate historical circumstance of the jurisdiction of the Chancellor over infants, has now accustomed us to courts of criminal equity for the youthful offender; but attempts to introduce any system of individualization for the adult will have to wrestle a long time with constitutional provisions.

on which the French legislation proceeded were familiar and criminal legislation. For the New York legislators had the interest in America. Substantially all that he says as to theory to French penal legislation should be of especial place, the desire to preclude arbitrary judicial action was to add. Perhaps two points deserve notice. congenial. It follows that the American criminalist has little based on French sources, were known to them, and the theories French Code of 1810 before them. Livingston's discussions, the Penal Codes of 1791 and 1810 applies equally to our efficient engine of political and religious persecution. Unpointees of the Crown the criminal law had been found an especially 'strong in America because in the hands of apconvinced the next age that there was no safety except in court of Star Chamber, and the contests between the commonhappily, our law as to misdemeanors had developed in the political and philosophical charts, to which all future governeighteenth century, that in our bills of rights they gave us of this were the lawyer and the publicist of the end of the hard and fast legal formulas applied mechanically. So sure law courts and the Crown in the seventeenth century had mental action must be made to conform, and they believed them to be merely declaratory of doctrines inhering in the Professor Saleilles' account of the relation of the classical In the first

INTRODUCTION TO THE ENGLISH VERSION xiii

ment. Thus the unfortunate political conditions that have be chapter and verse of the written law behind every punishcommonwealths began to adopt the doctrine that there must inal law. Very early by legislation or judicial decision our America did not extend to the substantive part of the crimvery idea of justice. The popularity of the common law in made the Star Chamber a synonym for arbitrary and opof absolute power. In consequence the American reader pressive administration of punitive justice will long stand in come to us through our legal history. For our experience will find the author in sympathy with the views which have France also the classical theory was a reaction against abuse the way of a revived "court of criminal equity." But in ministration of punitive justice that criminal law has a much has not been unique. It is an inherent difficulty in the adcloser connection with politics than has the law of civil relations. cution, but the power of a majority to visit with punishment to force its ideas upon the community under penalty of proselaw. Not only is one class suspicious of attempts by another There is constant fear of oppression through the criminal other points of no less importance. But revolutionary France American lawyers insist upon it unduly, to the exclusion of All criminalists must reckon with this difficulty. Perhaps used, puts a strain upon criminal law and administration. jectionable is liable to abuse and, whether rightly or wrongly practices which a strong minority consider in no wise ob-There is no great danger of oppression through civil litigation. had the same ideas, and by consequence the author canvasses legal policy which we also must consider. the very objections and discusses the very requirements of

Secondly, we must take account of the part played by Puritanism in the development of Anglo-American law. The relation of Puritanism to the common law is quite as important a part of the philosophical history of our legal important as the relation of Stoic philosophy to Roman law is system as the relation of Stoic philosophy to Roman law is system of the history of that system. In each case we have to part of the dominant fashion of thinking upon fundamental questions during a critical period of growth. The two grow-

same penalty for a broken law is exacted from persons of an it to be fundamental in legal theory. Thus, Amos says: "The criminal law. Indeed our common-law jurists have taken But this is exactly the method of the classical theory in conformity of their opinions to accepted standards of truth." nouncements upon the letter of their morality or the precise diversity and fine discrimination out of our judgments of case and individualizing the principle to meet that case might ordination of those whose cases were decided to those who as concrete cases to be individualized in their solution, subof the magistrate. If moral questions were to be dealt with of individualist religious dogma to the criminal law was much and the period that ends with our civil war was the age of indefinite number of shades of moral guilt, from persons of men, reducing them to thin, narrow, and superficial prothan in thus leading us to take all breadth and color and where," says Morley, "has Puritanism done us more harm individual had contracted to abide, be resorted to. demanded that a fixed, absolute, universal rule, which the result. His idea of "consociation but not subordination" had the power of weighing the circumstances of the concrete alien to the Puritan. For above all things he was jealous in practice which was permitted by the canon-law concepgreater in America than in France. The individualization But the age of Coke was the age of the Puritan in England, ment in America that comes to an end after the Civil War. American common-law period, the period of legal developteenth and beginning of the seventeenth century, and the were the classical common-law period, the end of the sixthe rules and doctrines that obtain to-day were formative, ing periods of our legal system, the two periods in which high education and culture, well acquainted with the protion of searching and disciplining the conscience was wholly law principles have often attained their most complete to his own liking. It is no accident, therefore, that common-America. Here he was in the majority and made institutions the Puritan in America. Indeed, he had his own way in logical development in America. Hence the contribution

INTRODUCTION TO THE ENGLISH VERSION XV

vidualized justice is one of the inherent difficulties of all was accepted everywhere. For to find a proper mean between hold upon us of a theory which on other grounds for a time Visions of the law they despise, and from the humblest and administration of justice according to law. And in the a system of hard and fast rules and one of completely indi-Puritanism of nineteenth-century America tightened the may attain anything better. Thus political events and the most illiterate persons in the country." And, be it noted, he states this as a matter of course, with no hint that we movement to and fro from the over-arbitrary to the overfor the latter. mechanical, the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries stood

agitation for "freie Rechtsfindung." In England it is manifest of interpreting the codes. In Germany it takes the form of a reaction against administration of justice solely by abstract is to be done primarily, but primarily as a means by which John Doe and Richard Roe, not as a cause in which justice protest against treating the private controversy between in Lord Esher's farewell speech, in which he thanked God which is now accorded to the bench in order to give fuller to settle the law for other litigants, and in the wider discretion that English law was not a science, in Sir John Hollams's formula. In France it appears as a newer and freer method accordingly, wrenching the law no more than is necessary general guide, determine what the equities of the cause deof the law is that jurors or courts take the rules of law as a ently growing extent the actual practice of our application while preserving the form of the law. To a large and apparin a tendency toward extra-legal attainment of just results power of doing justice. In the United States it is manifest Occasionally we find a judge owning frankly that he looks mand and contrive to find a verdict or render a judgment equities of a controversy require and justifying a result in chiefly at the ethical situation inter partes and does not allow appellate courts are suspected of ascertaining what the broad the law to interfere therewith more than is inevitable. Many More recently throughout the world there has come to be

accord therewith by the elaborate ritual of a written opinion. Complaint of this is not uncommon wherever lawyers discuss recent decisions among themselves, and at least one bar association has made it the subject of a resolution. The movement for individualization in criminal law is but a phase of this general movement for individualizing the application of all legal rules.

first trial, on a second trial, granted for an error of procedure employed him, having been sentenced to be hung on the Goldensen, a boy of 19, who suddenly killed a girl of 13, was too, the cases show that the choice of penalty depends very and brutal murder without the picturesque element. Then a certain degree that broad lines were drawn by the juries, hung, while Hoff, who brutally murdered a woman who hac largely on the temper of particular juries. For example, parent is between picturesque murder, however brutal, even if crudely. But one of these lines which is most apof police of San Francisco enables us to see how the power collection of criminal cases published recently by the chief The penal code of California has such a provision, and a cide, many of our jurisdictions leave the penalty to the jury. order to be able to procure convictions at all in cases of homicalled upon the legislature to make insanity a crime. In cases, it led to the situation Mark Twain satirized when he a most unsatisfactory tribunal in many classes of cases, and, strictly applied would require. Probably this power alone compel a different result from that which the legal rule has been exercised. As one studies the cases he can see to inal causes this is even more marked. Exercised in homicide has in large part occasioned, a most expensive one. In crimin view of the practice of repeated new trials, which this power expressed it, the jurors are chancellors, has made the jury exercise of this power, with respect to which, as Lord Coke in American jurisdictions in the twentieth century. verdicts, the power to find the facts in such a way as to the application of law is the power of juries to render general has made the common law of master and servant tolerable The chief reliance of our system toward individualizing

was imprisoned for life. In the cases of murder for gain or incident to robbery this is even more apparent. The so-called "gas-pipe" murderers, who were robbers, were hung. So was Kovalev, an escaped Siberian convict, who murdered for gain. But Sontag and Evans, professional bandits, who had committed a long series of train robberies, had killed many and shot many more, were imprisoned for life. So in the case of Dorsey, a stage robber and murderer. Experience elsewhere has been the same. Obviously the crude individualization achieved by our juries, and especially by leaving the assessment of penalties to trial juries, involves quite as much inequality and injustice as the mechanical application of the law by a magistrate. Unchecked jury discretion upon the whole is worse than the unchecked magisterial discretion from which the classical school sought

equity we have a system of legal individualization. Every is a system of individualization, and that this is possible we have the warrant of the experience of courts of equity. In in its application to individual causes. As Lord Eldon put rule has a margin, more or less wide, which admits of discretion to deliver us. applied according to the circumstances of each case." made as uniform almost as those of the common law, laying it, the doctrines of equity "ought to be as well-settled and must needs be rendered in every case; but the court has wide equity, too, we have a system of judicial individualization. down fixed principles but taking care that they are to be administration rather than in its substance, except as its constantly involved in our equity litigation, have not suffered substance allows this. That rights of property, which are For the individualization in equity in our system is in its doing what will most subserve the ends of justice therein. powers of adapting the decree to the concrete cause and of There is not, as at law, a stereotyped form of judgment which hard and fast formulas administered mechanically in order liberty, of which we are at least no less tender, do not require in any wise under such a régime, argues that rights of personal What we have to achieve, then, in modern criminal law

XVIII INTRODUCTION TO THE ENGLISH VERSION

to receive full protection. We must not overlook that to-day publicity is the most effective check and balance upon the magistrate. There is much less need of the elaborate tyingdown to which our fathers subjected him.

any pressing exigency. As to State constitutions, which are complacently speedy and peaceful alterations therein without stitution came only through civil war, we now contemplate a commonplace to say that amendments of the federal coneighteenth century spoke the last word on all fundamental applicable to us also. which preclude any system of legal or judicial individualizachiefly involved, we are likely to see change become quite questions of our polity. Where but a short time since it was wont to say "unconstitutional" as Mr. Podsnap said "not ceasing to be a word to conjure with. Not long ago we were designed to maintain. Hence in large part his discussion of seeks to guard the very interests which our bills of rights are tion in criminal law in this country. But Professor Saleilles reasonably demands it. easy enough in the near future when there is anything which English." To-day we are not so sure that the end of the the means of attaining a system of individualization are It will be urged that there are constitutional provisions Moreover, "unconstitutional" is

With respect to the author, it should be noted that he is primarily a lawyer, writing from a lawyer's standpoint and appreciating, as sociologists and lay criminalists do not at all times appreciate, the purely legal problems of which the lawyer is so acutely aware. As professor in the Faculty of Law of Dijon and afterwards in the Faculty of Law of the University of Paris, his chief labors have been in the field of comparative law, in which he has published, among others, the following important works: Étude sur les sources de l'obligation dans le projet de code civil allemand (1889); Du réfus de paiement pour inexécution de contrat; Étude du droit comparé (1893); Étude sur la théorie générale des obligations dans la seconde rédaction du projet de code civil allemand (1895, 2d ed. 1901); Les accidents du travail et la résponsabilité civile (1897); De la déclaration de volonté (1901); De la

ODUCTION TO THE ENGLISH VERSION xix

de meubles; Étude de droit allemand et de droit (1907). As to his sociological teaching at the Columbia Sciences sociales, it will be enough to refer to preciation by M. Tarde in the preface to the first of the present work.

ROSCOE POUND.

LAW SCHOOL